#### Index Returns 2009

Indexes, as of 11/30/09	YTD	Five Years
United States		
S&P 500	24.1%	0.7%
Russell 1000	25.4%	1.0%
Russell 2000	17.7%	-0.5%
Barclays Capital Gov't/Credit Index	6.4%	5.3%
International		
MSCI Developed (ex US)	31.6%	4.6%
MSCI Emerging Markets	71.7%	15.7%
Salomon World Gov't Bonds	8.0%	5.9%

### SWIB's 2009 Performance\*

As of 11/30/09	YTD	Five Years
Core Trust Fund	20.9%	4.7%
Core Trust Fund Benchmark	18.9%	4.5%
Variable Trust Fund	30.3%	2.1%
Variable Trust Fund Benchmark	28.6%	2.1%

<sup>\*</sup> Preliminary

#### SWIB Asset Classes 2009\*

Asset Class, 11/30/09	YTD	Five Years
Public Equities	32.8%	2.9%
Public Equities Benchmark	30.6%	2.7%
Public Fixed Income	12.5%	6.2%
Public Fixed Income Benchmark	10.1%	5.7%
Real Estate Equity	-30.1%	5.0%
Real Estate Equity Benchmark	-19.1%	7.7%
Private Equity	-7.9%	14.1%
Private Equity Benchmark	-10.9%	10.3%
Multi-Asset	34.1%	5.9%
Multi-Asset Benchmark	19.2%	4.4%

<sup>\*</sup> Preliminary

## Benchmark cost analysis suggests that your fund was low cost by 4.6 basis points.

To assess your cost performance, we start by calculating your benchmark cost. Your benchmark cost is an estimate of what your cost would be given your asset mix and the median costs that your peers pay for similar services. It represents the cost your peers would incur if they had your asset mix.

Your total cost of 35.3 bp was lower than your benchmark cost of 39.8 bp. Thus, your fund's cost savings was 4.6 bp.

	In \$000's	<b>Basis Points</b>
Your actual cost	249,877	35.3 bp
Your benchmark cost	<u>282,248</u>	39.8 bp
Your excess cost	-32,371	-4.6 bp

Source: CEM Benchmarking

# Over the period of the CEM database (1991-2008), the following are characteristics of funds that were statistically shown to be associated with net value added production.

Characteristics of Funds and their Impact on NVA Production			
Impact	No Impact		
Fund Size - the bigger the better  Total Cost - the less the better	Fund Type (i.e., Corporate/Public, etc.)  Number of External Managers		
<ul> <li>Asset Mix</li> <li>U.S. small cap holdings - the more the better</li> <li>Private Equity holdings - the more the better</li> <li>Implementation Style</li> <li>Internal Management - the more the better</li> </ul>			

Source: CEM Benchmarking