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## Operation of the System

| Ratio of Assets to | Core Annuities | Variable Annuities |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Liabilities | If $>0.5 \%$, dividend may <br> be granted <br> If $<-0.5 \%$, prior <br> dividends reduced | If $>2 \%$, variable annuity <br> increased <br> If $<-2 \%$, variable annuity <br> decreased |
| Increase/Decrease <br> Rounding Conventions | Rounded to nearest 0.1\% | Truncated, carried to <br> next year |
| Adjustment Effective <br> Date | April following 12/31 <br> valuation | April following 12/31 <br> valuation |
| Investment Return <br> Hurdle (+/-) to Trigger <br> Annuity Adjustment | Returns +/-5\% | Returns +/-5\% |

## Smoothing Mechanisms - Core

- Undesirable for retirees to experience wide swings in monthly benefits from year to year (especially downward swings)
- Mitigated in Core division by asset smoothing process and portfolio mix
- Asset smoothing has worked well historically, but a fifth negative dividend could not be avoided this year


## Smoothing Mechanisms - Variable

- Variable fund is marked to market each year and subject to wide swings
- Dropping fractions from the percent is a form of smoothing
- Usually has very little effect due to the magnitude of the gains and losses


## Summary of Results - December 31, 2012

## \$ Millions

|  | Core | Variable |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Number of Annuitants | 173,571 | 39,873 |
| Annual Annuities | $\$ 3,815.8$ | $\$ 304.6$ |
| Fund Balance | $40,591.6$ | $3,463.9$ |
| Actuarial Reserve | $41,852.4$ | $3,169.6$ |
| Ratio | $\mathbf{0 . 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 9 3}$ |

For Core, average decrease is $3 \%$ and maximum decrease is $9.6 \%$. For Variable, average increase is $9 \%$.

## Summary of Results

- This valuation recognizes the last of the scheduled recognition of investment losses from 2008 under the five year smoothing method
- Due to the remaining unrecognized gains, there is a possibility of a positive dividend in 2014


## Experience Study Changes

- Old method used 'unprojected' mortality table and loaded for future mortality improvements over 3 years (1.003, 1.006, 1.010 loads in respective years)
- New method uses 'projected' mortality table and phases into new table over 3 years ( $0.993,0.996$, 1.000 loads in respective years)
- Data reserve load reduced from $0.2 \%$ to $0.1 \%$
- The net effect on the dividend was a small gain


## Primary Sources of Core Dividend

|  | \% of APV |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. SWIB published investment return | 13.70\% |
| 2. MRA adjustment | (11.50)\% |
| 3. Published effective earnings rate | 2.20\% |
| 4. Adjustment to relate earnings to average core annuity balance | (0.10)\% |
| 5. Earnings rate based on average balance | 2.10\% |
| 6. Expected dividend before adjustments: 1.021/1.05-1 | (2.76)\% |
| 7. Adjustment to relate average asset to ending liability | (0.03)\% |
| 8. Carryover from last year due to timing of dividend accounting adjustments and rounding | (0.09)\% |
| 9. Adjustments to contingency reserve and data reserve | (0.30)\% |
| 10. Experience study | 0.22\% |
| 11. Experience and other effects | (0.05)\% |
| 12. Statutory adjustment to round to nearest one-tenth percent | 0.01\% |
| 13. Computed average dividend rate: $(6)+(7)+(8)+(9)+(10)+(11)+(12)$ | (3.0)\% |
| 14. Adjustment for members at or near the statutory floor | (6.6)\% |
| 15. Final maximum computed dividend rate: (13)+(14) | (9.6)\% |

## Liability Attributable to Dividends

## Liability for <br> Dividend Remaining

Valuation

12/31/2008
12/31/2009
12/31/2010
12/31/2011
12/31/2012

Liability for
Dividend Adjustment
(billions)

Ratio
(8.7)\%
(4.9)\%
(4.2)\%
(26.6)\%
(28.9)\%

- Liability for Dividend Remaining represents the value of all previously granted dividends
- Liability for Dividend Adjustment represents the value of the dividend that needs to reduced to maintain actuarial balance
- As the liability for the Dividend Remaining decreases, the Dividend Adjustment becomes highly leveraged


## Dividend Remaining (as a percentage of total benefit) by Year of Retirement



## Liabilities (as a percentage of total) by Year of Retirement



Shaded region represents membership group that negative dividend can be spread over.

## Projected Future Core Annuities



## Primary Sources of Variable Adjustment

| 1. SWIB published investment return | 16.9\% |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2. Adjustment to published effective rate | 0.1\% |
| 3. Published effective earnings rate | 17.0\% |
| 4. Adjustment to relate earnings to average variable annuity fund balance | (0.5)\% |
| 5. Earnings rate based on average balance | 16.5\% |
| 6. Expected change before adjustments: 1.165/1.05-1 | 11.0\% |
| 7. Adjustment to relate average asset to ending liability | 0.0\% |
| 8. Carryover from last year due to timing of distribution, accounting adjustments and truncation | (1.3)\% |
| 9. Adjustments to contingency reserve | (0.3)\% |
| 10. Experience study | 0.0\% |
| 11. Experience and other effects | (0.1)\% |
| 12. Statutory adjustment: (truncate to whole percent) | (0.3)\% |
| 13. Variable annuity change: (6)+(7)+(8)+(9)+(10)+(11)+(12) | 9.0\% |

## Average Retirement Age



## Average Age at Death



## Number of Original Annuitants Over 100 Years Old



## Comparative Statement - Core

| Valuation Date | Number | \$ Millions |  |  |  | Change in |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Annual | Fund | Actuarial |  | Annu | ities |  |
|  |  | Annuities | Balance | Reserve | Ratio | Average | Maximum | CPI* |
| 2002 | 116,289 | 2,226.6 | 23,142.4 | 23,202.9 | 0.997 | 0.0 \% | 0.0 \% | 2.4 \% |
| 2003 | 121,582 | 2,364.7 | 25,071.9 | 24,724.0 | 1.014 | 1.4 \% | 1.4 \% | 1.9 \% |
| 2004 | 126,211 | 2,500.3 | 26,920.0 | 26,232.2 | 1.026 | 2.6 \% | 2.6 \% | 3.3 \% |
| 2005 | 131,674 | 2,691.4 | 28,575.3 | 28,359.7 | 1.008 | 0.8 \% | 0.8 \% | 3.4 \% |
| 2006 | 137,117 | 2,843.6 | 31,180.5 | 30,273.9 | 1.030 | 3.0 \% | 3.0 \% | 2.6 \% |
| 2007 | 142,906 | 3,075.3 | 35,050.1 | 32,877.5 | 1.066 | 6.6 \% | 6.6 \% | 4.1 \% |
| 2008 | 144,033 | 3,399.3 | 35,798.1 | 36,551.5 | 0.979 | (2.1)\% | (2.1)\% | 0.1 \% |
| 2009 | 150,671 | 3,449.3 | 36,655.8 | 37,072.7 | 0.989 | (1.1)\% | (1.3)\% | 2.7 \% |
| 2010 | 155,775 | 3,532.4 | 37,798.4 | 38,148.5 | 0.991 | (0.9)\% | (1.2)\% | 1.5 \% |
| 2011 | 167,453 | 3,842.0 | 40,411.5 | 42,078.3 | 0.960 | (4.0)\% | (7.0)\% | 3.0 \% |
| 2012 | 173,655 | 3,806.3 | 40,591.6 | 41,852.4 | 0.970 | (3.0)\% | (9.6)\% | 1.7 \% |
| 30-Year Average |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 \% | 3.8 \% | 2.9 \% |
| 10-Year Average |  |  |  |  |  | 0.3 \% | (0.8)\% | 2.4 \% |

*Based on December CPI-U67 index.

## Comparative Statement - Variable

| Valuation <br> Date | Number | \$ Millions |  |  | Ratio |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Annual <br> Annuities | Fund Balance | Actuarial Reserve |  | Change in |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Annuities | CPI* |
| 2002 | 28,662 | 374.0 | 2,899.3 | 3,999.1 | 0.726 | (27.0)\% | 2.4 \% |
| 2003 | 29,496 | 273.3 | 3,635.9 | 2,892.2 | 1.257 | 25.0 \% | 1.9 \% |
| 2004 | 30,270 | 346.0 | 3,909.9 | 3,654.5 | 1.070 | 7.0 \% | 3.3 \% |
| 2005 | 31,499 | 376.5 | 4,092.7 | 3,970.7 | 1.031 | 3.0 \% | 3.4 \% |
| 2006 | 32,683 | 391.8 | 4,594.2 | 4,145.2 | 1.108 | 10.0 \% | 2.6 \% |
| 2007 | 33,880 | 432.6 | 4,625.0 | 4,563.7 | 1.013 | 0.0 \% | 4.1 \% |
| 2008 | 34,927 | 427.0 | 2,574.5 | 4,491.0 | 0.573 | (42.0)\% | 0.1 \% |
| 2009 | 34,836 | 240.3 | 3,078.4 | 2,512.7 | 1.225 | 22.0 \% | 2.7 \% |
| 2010 | 35,866 | 288.4 | 3,340.6 | 3,005.4 | 1.111 | 11.0 \% | 1.5 \% |
| 2011 | 38,949 | 330.3 | 3,197.9 | 3,462.9 | 0.924 | (7.0)\% | 3.0 \% |
| 2012 | 39,873 | 304.6 | 3,463.9 | 3,169.6 | 1.093 | 9.0 \% | 1.7 \% |
| 30-Year Average |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 \% | 2.9 \% |
| 10-Year Average |  |  |  |  |  | 1.9 \% | 2.4 \% |

*Based on December CPI-U67 index.

## History of \% Changes



## Looking Ahead

- As of the December 31, 2012 valuation, the 2008 market losses have been fully recognized
- Next year, a positive dividend is possible provided the core fund does not have a poor investment year (i.e., negative return)
- A positive dividend would be spread equally over all annuitants

