Composition of the WRS Governing Boards

Office of Policy, Privacy and Compliance Laura Patterson, Policy Analyst



Board Structure Recap

The Wisconsin Retirement System's History

- Numerous Wisconsin public pensions systems merged to form the WRS
- Today, nearly all WRS members have uniform benefits
- The WR & TR Boards were created due to political negotiations during 'Merger'

Reduced Workloads for WR & TR Board

- The WR & TR Boards' primary functions are to appoint members to ETF Board and SWIB
- The WR & TR Boards duplicate the functions of the ETF Board
- The number of appeals and administrative rules heard has been reduced in recent years

WRS' Board Membership is Uncommonly Large

- The ETF, WR & TR Boards have a larger combined board membership than any other public pension plan
- Most governance experts recommend a board size of 6-10 members
- Consolidation has been previously discussed due to the combined size of the ETF, WR & TR Boards

Road Map

- Fiduciary Duties
- Board Composition Criteria
- Peer Systems
- Composition Conclusions





Board Composition – Fiduciary Duties



WRS Fiduciaries



Source: National Association of State Retirement Administrators & Funston Advisory Services LLC, 2018 Reinhart Boerner Van Deuren s.c. Presentation



Board Composition – Criteria



Board Composition Criteria

- Number & Size
- Balance
- Representation
- Experience





Composition Criteria – Size & Number



Number & Size of Boards



Source: National Association of State Retirement Administrators



Composition Criteria – Balance



Balance - Types of Board Members



Appointed & Ex Officio





Ex Officio & Appointed Members

Role

 Sit in their board seat by virtue of their office or they are appointed by government officials

Who are they?

- 9 ETF Board members
- All WR Board members
- 4 TR Board members

Value

- Related expertise and experience
- Experience in state government





Elected Members

Role

 Elected to their board seat by their peers

Who are they?

- 2 ETF Board members
- 9 TR Board members

Value

- Have a personal stake in the WRS
- Can provide their unique perspective
- Communicate the needs of members





Composition Criteria – Representation



Representation – WRS Demographics by Profession

Type:

General: 52%

Teachers: 39%

Executives & Elected Officials: <1%

Protective Occupations: 9%





Representation – WRS Demographics by Status

Type:

Active: 40%

Retired: 33%

Inactive: 27%





Representation – WRS Demographics by State & Local

Type:









Composition Criteria – Experience



Experience The skill set the Boards need to make decisions



Composition of the WRS Governing Boards- December 12, 2019

.9 **Re**

Board Composition – Peer Systems



ETF Board Composition

Position Type:

- Ex Officio
- Appointed
- Elected
- Indirectly Elected





ETF Board Comparison to Typical Public Pension Plan



Source: National Association of State Retirement Administrators' Data



ETF Board Comparison to 1989 WRS Study Recommendation



Source: National Association of State Retirement Administrators' Data



ETF Board Comparison to NYSTRS Board



Source: National Association of State Retirement Administrators' Data



ETF Board Comparison to SDRS Board



Source: National Association of State Retirement Administrators' Data



ETF Board Comparison to CaIPERS Board



Source: National Association of State Retirement Administrators' Data



Board Composition - Conclusions



Composition Conclusions

Number & Size

- A single board
- 9 to 13 members

Balance

• Include ex officio, elected, and appointed members

Representation

• Base elected seats by plan demographics

Experience

• Align board member experience requirements with needed skill set



Questions?

Thank you









