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GABRIEL, ROEDER, SMITH \& COMPANY
Consultants \& Actuaries
One Towne Square • Suite 800 Southfield, Michigan 48076 248-799-9000 $\quad$ 800-521-0498 $\quad$ fax 248-799-9020

May 17, 2004

Employee Trust Funds Board
801 West Badger Road
Madison, Wisconsin 53713-2526

Re: Wisconsin Retirement System (WRS)

Ladies and Gentlemen:

The basic financial objective of the WRS is to establish and receive contributions which:

- when expressed in terms of percents of active member payroll, will remain approximately level from generation to generation, and
- when combined with present assets and future investment return, will be sufficient to meet the financial obligations of WRS to present and future retirees and beneficiaries.

The financial objective is addressed within the annual actuarial valuation. The valuation process develops contribution rates that are sufficient to fund the plan's normal cost (i.e., the costs assigned by the valuation method to the year of service about to be rendered), as well as to fund unfunded actuarial accrued liabilities as a level percent of active member payroll over a finite period. The most recent valuations were completed based upon population data, asset data, and plan provisions as of December 31, 2002.

The plan's administrative staff provides the actuary with data for the actuarial valuation. The actuary relies on the data after reviewing it for internal and year-to-year consistency. The actuary summarizes and tabulates population data in order to analyze longer-term trends.

The actuary prepared the following supporting schedules for the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report:

Percent Increase in Salaries Next Year.
Single Life Retirement Values.
Rates of Retirement for Those Eligible to Retire.
Early Retirement Pattern.
Percentage of Active Members Withdrawing (Select and Ultimate).

Solvency Test.
Summary of Accrued and Unfunded Accrued Liabilities.

Assets are valued on a market related basis that recognizes assumed return fully each year and spreads each year's gain or loss above or below assumed return over a closed five-year period. The method includes a phase out of the former Transaction Amortization Account in accordance with Act 11 of 1999.

Actuarial valuations are based upon assumptions regarding future activity in specific risk areas including the rates of investment return and payroll growth, eligibility for the various classes of benefits, and longevity among retired lives. The Board adopts these assumptions after considering the advice of the actuary and other professionals. The assumptions and the methods comply with the requirements of Statement 25 of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. Each actuarial valuation takes into account all prior differences between actual and assumed experience in each risk area and adjusts the contribution rates as needed. The December 31, 2002 valuations were based upon assumptions that were recommended in connection with a study of experience covering the 1997-99 period. The valuations included the benefit provisions of Act 11 of 1999.

Based upon the results of the December 31, 2002 valuations, we are pleased to report to the Board that the Wisconsin Retirement System is meeting its basic financial objective and continues in excellent financial condition in accordance with actuarial principles of level percent of payroll financing. A rebound in the investment markets is important for the WRS as it is for virtually every other retirement system.


Respectfully submitted, GABRIEL, ROEDER, SMITH \& COMPANY

Norman L. Jones, F.S.A., M.A.A.A.
Brian B. Murphy, F.S.A., M.A.A.A.

BBM:Ir


# Wisc onsin Retirement System Actuarial Statement of Assets and Liabilities 

(in Millions \$)<br>Increase<br>December 31, 2002 December 31, 2001 (Decrease)

## Assets and EmployerObligations



## Summary of Benefit Provisions

## Normal Retirement Eigibility

The age a participant becomes eligible for an unreduced age and service annuity is:

| General | Protective |  |  <br> Elected |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Age | Service | Age | Service | Age |  | Service |
| 65 | Any * | 54 | Any* $^{*}$ | 62 | Any* $^{*}$ |  |
| 57 | 30 | 53 | 25 | 57 | 30 |  |

* Participants first employed after 1989 and terminated before April 24, 1998, must have creditable service in five calendar years.


## Nomal Retirement Annuity

The age and service annuity payable at Normal Retirement Age is based on Final Average Earnings (FAE) and Creditable Service (CS) as follows:

| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Multiplier for Service Rendered } \\ \text { After 1999 } \\ 2.0 \%\end{array}$ | Group |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2.165 \%$ |  | \(\left.\begin{array}{l}Executive group, <br>

elected officials and <br>
protective occupation <br>
participants covered <br>
by Social Security\end{array}\right\}\)

FAE is generally the average of the 3 highest years of earnings (July 1 - June 30 for teachers, educational support staff, and judges; calendar year for others) preceding retirement. These years do not have to be consecutive. For legislators and state constitutional officers who are ineligible to receive pay increases during their term, FAE is the statutory rate of earnings at termination. Maximum formula annuity is $85 \%$ of FAE for protective occupation participants not covered by Social Security, 65\% of FAE for protectives covered
by Social Security, and $70 \%$ for all other participants. If greater than the formula amount, an annuity equal to the actuarial equivalent of two times the required accumulated contributions is paid in lieu of the formula amount.

Early Retirement. Any participant who has attained age 55 and any protective occupation participant who has attained age 50 may apply for an early retirement annuity. The benefit is reduced $0.4 \%$ for each month that the annuity effective date precedes the Normal Retirement Age. For non-protective participants terminating after June 30, 1990 the $0.4 \%$ is reduced for months after the attainment of age 57 and before the annuity effective date by .001111\% for each month of creditable service.

Voluntary Termination Before Immediate Benefit Eligibility. Participant may either (i) receive a refund of accumulated contributions, or (ii) leave contributions on deposit and apply for a retirement annuity on or after the minimum retirement age based upon age and accrued service at time of termination.

Post-Retirement Adjustments. Annuities are increased annually if the investment income credited to retired life funds is in excess of the assumed rate (presently 5\%), other plan experiences are within projected ranges, and the resulting adjustment would be at least $2 \%$. Slightly different provisions apply to variable annuities.

Disability Annuity. Eligibility is generally total and permanent incapacity to engage in gainful employment. Participant must have completed at least six months of creditable service in each of at least five out of the last seven calendar years preceding application for disability. Service requirement is waived if disability is from service-related causes.

## Actuarial

Disability Amounts. Amounts payable in case of disability depend upon the plan from which payment is made and are described below.

|  | Pre-10/16/92 WRS Plan | Post-10/15/92 Long Term <br> Disability Insurance Plan |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Participants Covered | Participants hired before 10/16/92 <br> who do not elect LTDI coverage by <br> January 2, 1997. | Participants entering after 10/15/92 and <br> participants on $10 / 15 / 92$ who elect LTDI <br> coverage. |
| Benefit to age 65* | WRS formula benefit based on <br> service projected to normal <br> retirement age. | 40\% of FAE for participants covered by <br> Social Security; 50\% of FAE for non-covered <br> participants who cannot qualify for Social |
| Benefit at age 65* | Continuation of pre-65 amount. | Security disability benefits. |
|  |  | WRS benefit accrued to date of disability plus <br> (\% of FAE money purchase benefit during <br> in accordance with dividend rate. |

*Conversion age is later for participants becoming disabled after age 61.
Death In Service. (a) Prior to age 50 for protective participants, age 55 for others, the benefit is the equivalent of twice the accumulated employee required and all additional contributions and employer amounts contributed prior to 1974 for teachers, or 1966 for others.
(b) After age 50 for protective participants, age 55 for others, the benefit is the amount that would have been paid if participant had retired and elected $100 \%$ survivor option. Benefit is payable to spouse, child, or other dependent.

Interest credits. For years after 1999, and for people with some active service after 1999, participant accounts are credited with interest at the full (fixed) effective rate. For others, accounts are credited with interest as follows:

Rate Credited For Purpose of

Date of Participation
Prior to 1982
January 1, 1982
and later

Money Purchase

| Minimum |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Actual |  |
| $5 \%$ | $3 \%$ |

Contribution Rates. The financial objective of WRS is to establish and receive contributions that will remain level from year to year and decade to decade.

Statutory required participant contributions are as follows:

| General | $5.0 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Executives \& Elected Officials | 5.5 |
| Protectives |  |
| - With Social Security | 6.0 |
| - Without Social Security | 8.0 |

Non-refundable benefit adjustment contributions are also required by statute and may be paid by the employer or by the employee depending upon the employer's compensation plan. The employers contribute the remaining amounts necessary to fund the retirement system on an actuarially sound basis.

As differences between actual and assumed experience emerge, adjustments are made to contributions to maintain financial balance as follows:
-- One-half of the increase or decrease is reflected in the employer normal cost rate.
-- One-half of the increase or decrease is reflected in the participant-paid portion of the benefit adjustment contribution. If a decrease would reduce a benefit adjustment contribution to less than zero, participant normal contributions are reduced.

# Actuarial Method and Assumptions Used in Valuations 

## The principal areas of risk assumption are:

1. Long-term rates of investment income likely to be generated by the assets of the retirement fund - this includes both realized and unrealized appreciation and depreciation.
2. Rates of mortality among participants, retirees and beneficiaries.
3. Rates of withdrawal of active participants.
4. Rates of disability among participants.
5. Patterns of salary increases to be experienced by participants.
6. The age and service distribution of actual retirements.

In making a valuation, the actuary must project the monetary value of each risk assumption for each distinct experience group, for the next year and for each year over the next half-century or longer.

Once actual risk experience has occurred and been observed, it will not coincide exactly with assumed risk experience, regardless of the skill of the actuary, the completeness of the data, and the precision of the calculations. Each valuation provides a completed recalculation of assumed future risk experience and takes into account all past differences between assumed and actual risk experience. The result is a continual series of small adjustments to the computed contribution rate.

From time to time it becomes necessary to adjust the package of risk measurements to reflect basic experience trends but not random year-toyear fluctuations.

The actuarial valuation method used in the valuation was the Frozen Initial Liability Actuarial Valuation Method. Under this method, the amount of remaining unfunded accrued actuarial liabilities at any valuation date are affected only by the monthly amortization payments, compound interest, the added liability created by new employer units and any added liabilities caused by changes in benefit provisions.

## Economic Assumptions

The long-term rates of investment return used in making the valuation were $8.0 \%$ a year, compounded yearly for active members, and 5\% a year, compounded yearly for retired lives. This latter assumption determines the extent to which future benefit payments are assumed to be made from future investment income.

Salary adjustment factors used to project earnings for each participant between the valuation date and the participant's retirement age are shown on the next page for sample ages. This assumption is used to project a participant's current earnings to the earnings upon which benefits will be based.

## Actuarial

| \% Increases in Salaries Next Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Merit and Longevity Increase Next Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age | General | Teachers | Protective With ${ }^{5 S}$ | Protectiv Withou |  | Executive and Elected |
| 20 | 5.6\% | 6.0\% | 4.2\% | 5.0\% | 5.0\% |  |
| 25 | 5.6\% | 5.8\% | 4.2\% | 4.2\% | 4.2\% |  |
| 30 | 4.3\% | 4.2\% | 3.8\% | 2.8\% | 2.8\% |  |
| 35 | 3.1\% | 3.1\% | 2.6\% | 1.4\% | 1.4\% |  |
| 40 | 2.2\% | 2.2\% | 1.2\% | 0.8\% | 0.8\% |  |
| 45 | 1.5\% | 1.5\% | 0.5\% | 0.5\% | 0.5\% |  |
| 50 | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% |  |
| 55 | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% |  |
| 60 | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% |  |
| 65 | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% |  |

In addition to the merit and longevity increase, each person is assumed to get an economic increase of $4.5 \%$ each year.

Population and Payroll Growth: The active population is assumed to remain constant. The active payroll is assumed to grow $4.5 \%$ per year. This increasing payroll was recognized in amortizing unfunded actuarial accrued liabilities.

## Decrement Probabilities

The mortality table used to measure mortality for retired participants was the Wisconsin Projected Experience Table for men and women. Sample retirement values from this table are shown below. This assumption is used to measure the probabilities of participants dying before retirement and the probabilities of each benefit payment being made after retirement.

For disability retirements basing mortality on an age 12 years older than the actual age recognizes impaired longevity.

## Single Life Retirement Values

## Wisconsin Projected Experience Table With 5\% Interest

| Sample Attained | Present Value of \$1 Monthly for Life |  | Future Life Expectancy (Years) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ages | Males | Females | Males | Females |
| 40 | \$203.49 | \$213.51 | 39.7 | 45.1 |
| 45 | 193.18 | 205.50 | 34.9 | 40.3 |
| 50 | 180.98 | 195.63 | 30.2 | 35.4 |
| 55 | 166.76 | 183.57 | 25.7 | 30.7 |
| 60 | 150.13 | 168.96 | 21.4 | 26.1 |
| 65 | 131.03 | 151.77 | 17.3 | 21.6 |
| 70 | 110.56 | 131.92 | 13.5 | 17.3 |
| 75 | 90.31 | 110.50 | 10.3 | 13.4 |
| 80 | 70.75 | 89.29 | 7.6 | 10.1 |
| 85 | 54.29 | 69.03 | 5.5 | 7.3 |

## Actuarial

## Rates of Retirement for Those Eligible to Retire

## Nomal Retirement Pattem

\% Retiring Next Year

| Age | General |  | Public School |  | University <br> Protective |  |  | Exec. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50 | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% 5\% | 2\% | \% |
| 51 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 2 |  |
| 52 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 4 |  |
| 53 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 31 | 34 |  |
| 54 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 27 | 36 |  |
| 55 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 27 | 39 |  |
| 56 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 27 | 42 |  |
| 57 | 25 | 27 | 30 | 25 | 20 | 25 | 27 | 38 | 8 |
| 58 | 25 | 27 | 30 | 22 | 16 | 15 | 27 | 37 | 8 |
| 59 | 25 | 27 | 30 | 22 | 15 | 25 | 27 | 33 | 12 |
| 60 | 25 | 28 | 30 | 25 | 16 | 17 | 31 | 31 | 14 |
| 61 | 28 | 34 | 30 | 23 | 18 | 19 | 26 | 40 | 20 |
| 62 | 47 | 50 | 45 | 36 | 25 | 32 | 47 | 40 | 15 |
| 63 | 45 | 50 | 45 | 25 | 22 | 26 | 38 | 40 | 15 |
| 64 | 45 | 50 | 45 | 40 | 23 | 37 | 31 | 40 | 15 |
| 65 | 45 | 50 | 55 | 40 | 25 | 33 | 34 | 40 | 20 |
| 66 | 32 | 39 | 50 | 31 | 25 | 32 | 50 | 40 | 20 |
| 67 | 29 | 30 | 40 | 20 | 25 | 24 | 50 | 40 | 20 |
| 68 | 24 | 25 | 40 | 20 | 25 | 26 | 50 | 40 | 20 |
| 69 | 22 | 20 | 40 | 20 | 25 | 20 | 50 | 40 | 20 |
| 70 | 12 | 20 | 40 | 20 | 25 | 20 | 100 | 100 | 20 |
| 71 | 12 | 20 | 40 | 20 | 25 | 20 | 100 | 100 | 20 |
| 72 | 12 | 20 | 40 | 20 | 25 | 20 | 100 | 100 | 20 |
| 73 | 12 | 20 | 40 | 20 | 25 | 20 | 100 | 100 | 20 |
| 74 | 12 | 20 | 40 | 20 | 25 | 20 | 100 | 100 | 20 |
| 75 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

## Actuarial

## Early Retirement Pattem

\% Retiring Next Year

|  | General <br> Age <br> Male <br> Female |  | Public School <br> Male |  | Female | University <br> Male <br> Female | Exec. <br> \& Elected |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 55 | $7 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| 56 | 5 | 6 | 10 | 7 | 5 | 7.5 | 6 |
| 57 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 5 | 7.5 | 6 |
| 58 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 9 | 5 | 7.5 | 6 |
| 59 | 4 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 5 | 7.5 | 6 |
| 60 | 6 | 9 | 10 | 13 | 5 | 7.5 | 6 |
| 61 | 9 | 11 | 15 | 14 | 5 | 7.5 | 6 |
| 62 | 20 | 20 | 15 | 20 | 5 | 7.5 |  |
| 63 | 20 | 20 | 15 | 20 | 5 | 7.5 |  |
| 64 | 20 | 20 | 15 | 20 | 5 | 7.5 |  |

The assumed rates of separation from employment prior to service retirement due to disability and other causes for sample ages are shown on the next page. For other terminations it was assumed that a percentage depending on age of participants terminating after age 35 with five or more years service will leave their contributions on deposit and be paid a benefit at normal retire-
ment age and that the remaining participants would take a separation benefit. The percentage taking a separation benefit is $100 \%$ at age 35, grading downward to $0 \%$ at retirement eligibility. All participants terminating prior to normal retirement age with less than five years of service were assumed to take a separation benefit.

Assumed Temmination Rates
\% of Active Participants Teminating

| Age \& Service males | Protective |  | Public Schools |  | University |  | Exec . \%Elected | Other |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | With | W/O |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S.S. | S.S. | Males | Females | Males | Females |  | Males | Fe - |
| 0 | 11.7\% | 4.9\% | 10.1\% | 10.0\% | 18.0\% | 18.9\% | 7.5\% | 17.6\% | 16.9\% |
| 1 | 6.4 | 2.5 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 15.0 | 16.2 | 7.0 | 10.6 | 11.1 |
| 2 | 5.1 | 2.4 | 5.3 | 6.0 | 13.0 | 14.9 | 6.5 | 7.9 | 8.7 |
| 3 | 3.6 | 2.3 | 3.8 | 5.4 | 11.0 | 12.8 | 6.0 | 6.8 | 7.1 |
| 4 | 3.0 | 2.2 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 10.0 | 10.5 | 5.5 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| 25 \% Over | 2.1 | 1.0 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 10.0 | 10.5 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| 30 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 2.7 | 3.5 | 10.0 | 10.5 | 4.8 | 4.6 | 5.8 |
| 35 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 2.3 | 9.0 | 8.3 | 4.6 | 3.1 | 4.5 |
| 40 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 5.0 | 5.3 | 4.0 | 2.1 | 3.2 |
| 45 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 3.3 | 3.8 | 3.2 | 1.8 | 2.9 |
| 50 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 1.5 | 2.5 |
| 55 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.8 |
| 60 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 0.6 |

## Disability Rates

\% of Active Participants Becoming Disabled

|  | Protectives |  | Public Schools |  | University |  | Exec. \& Elected |  | Other |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | With S.S. | W/O S.S. | Males | Females | Males | Females | Males | Females | Males | Females |
| 20 | $0.01 \%$ | $0.04 \%$ | $0.01 \%$ | $0.01 \%$ | $0.01 \%$ | $0.01 \%$ | $0.01 \%$ | $0.01 \%$ | $0.01 \%$ | $0.01 \%$ |
| 25 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| 30 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.04 |
| 35 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.05 |
| 40 | 0.06 | 0.11 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.07 |
| 45 | 0.11 | 0.19 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.11 | 0.10 |
| 50 | 0.38 | 0.59 | 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.07 | 0.13 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.25 | 0.16 |
| 55 | 1.25 | 0.65 | 0.26 | 0.19 | 0.21 | 0.20 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.48 | 0.29 |
| 60 | 0.85 | 0.50 | 0.43 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.30 | 0.37 | 0.37 | 0.85 | 0.41 |

# Summary of MemberValuation Data 

## General Participants \& Teachers

Valuation
Date
Partic ipating
Employers
Active
Participants

## Annual Payroll (millions \$)

Annual<br>Average Pay

## \% Increase In Average Pay

28,886
29,595
30,479
31,160
31,980
32,847
33,547
35,610
36,605
37,377

## Executive \& Elected Participants

## Valuation Date

12/31/1993
12/31/1994
12/31/1995
12/31/1996
12/31/1997
12/31/1998
12/31/1999
12/31/2000
12/31/2001
12/31/2002

Participating
Employers Employers

255 257 257 262 264 264 264 267 270 279

## Active Participants

## Annual Payroll (millions \$)

## Annual Average Pay

| 41,460 | $4.8 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 43,517 | $5.0 \%$ |
| 45,153 | $3.8 \%$ |
| 45,990 | $1.9 \%$ |
| 48,866 | $6.3 \%$ |
| 50,690 | $3.7 \%$ |
| 52,589 | $3.7 \%$ |
| 55,585 | $5.7 \%$ |
| 57,060 | $2.7 \%$ |
| 58,865 | $3.2 \%$ |

\% Increase In Average Pay
4.8\%
5.0\%
3.8\%
1.9\%
6.3\%
3.7\%
3.7\%
2.7\%
3.2\%

## Protective Participants Covered by Social Sec urity

## Valuation Date

12/31/1993
12/31/1994
12/31/1995
12/31/1996
12/31/1997
12/31/1998
12/31/1999
12/31/2000
12/31/2001
12/31/2002

## Participating Employers

409
417
415
427
435
441
444
452
460
475

## Active Participants

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 12,388 \\
& 12,825 \\
& 13,434 \\
& 13,820 \\
& 14,232 \\
& 14,810 \\
& 16,483 \\
& 16,970 \\
& 17,981 \\
& 18,325
\end{aligned}
$$

## Annual Payroll (millions\$)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 407.9 \\
& 436.1 \\
& 46.8 \\
& 494.9 \\
& 535.5 \\
& 570.3 \\
& 648.6 \\
& 717.2 \\
& 771.6 \\
& 883.9
\end{aligned}
$$

## Annual Average Pay

32,927
34,004
34,748
35,810
37,626
38,508
39,350
42,263
42,912
43,871

## \% Increase In Average Pay

2.7\%
3.3\%
2.2\%
3.1\%
5.1\%
2.3\%
2.2\%
7.4\%
1.5\%
2.2\%

## Summary of Member Valuation Data

## Valuation Date

12/31/1993
12/31/1994
12/31/1995
12/31/1996
12/31/1997
12/31/1998
12/31/1999
12/31/2000
12/31/2001
12/31/2002

## Valuation Date

$12 / 31 / 1993$
$12 / 31 / 1994$
$12 / 31 / 1995$
$12 / 31 / 1996$
$12 / 31 / 1997$
$12 / 31 / 1998$
$12 / 31 / 1999$
$12 / 31 / 2000$
$12 / 31 / 2001$
$12 / 31 / 2002$

## Partic ipating Employers

## Participating Employers

60
61
59
56
55
55
54
55
55
55

## Active Annual Payroll Participants (millions \$)

## Annual Payroll (millions \$)

Annual
Average Pay

2,611
2,612
2,630
2,625
2,654
2,658
2,691
2,685
2,715
2,709

1,171
1,182
1,202
1,218
1,230
1,252
1,266
1,289
1,316
1,355

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 227,078 \\
& 231,167 \\
& 233,973 \\
& 237,169 \\
& 241,229 \\
& 245,935 \\
& 250,299 \\
& 255,217 \\
& 261,126 \\
& 263,500
\end{aligned}
$$

## All Partic ipants

102.8
106.1
111.7
115.7
120.9
126.9
130.6
135.4
142.1
147.9
39,372
40,620
42,471
44,076
45,554
47,743
48,532
50,428
52,339
54,603
$6,655.1$
$6,946.9$
$7,241.7$
$7,510.0$
$7,855.5$
$8,227.5$
$8,560.6$
$9,270.6$
$9,745.0$
$10,046.2$

Annual Average Pay

29,308
30,051
30,951
31,665
32,564
33,454
34,201
36,324
37,319
38,126

## \% Increase In Average Pay

3.5\%
3.2\%
4.6\%
3.8\%
3.4\%
4.8\%
1.7\%
3.9\%
3.8\%
4.3\%

## \% Increase In Average Pay

4.4\%
2.5\%
3.0\%
2.3\%
2.8\%
2.7\%
2.2\%
6.2\%
2.7\%
2.2\%

# Summary of Accrued and Unfunded Accrued Liabilities (in Millions \$) 

| Valuation | Aggregate <br> Accrued <br> Labilities | Valuation <br> Assets | Assets as <br> a \% of <br> Accrued <br> Liabilities | Unfunded <br> Accrued <br> Liabilities | Annual <br> Active <br> Member <br> Payroll | UALasa <br> \% of Annual <br> Active |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| M92 | $24,984.7$ | $22,943.2$ | $91.8 \%$ | $2,041.5$ | $6,448.6$ |  |
| Member Payroll |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Solvency Test (in Millions \$)

## Accrued Liability

| Valuation <br> Year | Valuation <br> Assets | Retirants <br> and <br> Beneficiaries | Active <br> Member <br> Contrib. | Employer's <br> Contrib. | Total |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1992 | $22,943.2$ | $8,991.0$ | $7,026.3$ | $8,967.4$ | $24,984.7$ |
| 1993 | $25,436.5$ | $10,016.1$ | $7,800.2$ | $9,716.7$ | $27,533.0$ |
| 1994 | $26,954.3$ | $10,704.2$ | $8,197.6$ | $10,110.3$ | $29,012.1$ |
| 1995 | $30,246.2$ | $12,205.9$ | $9,022.5$ | $11,120.5$ | $32,348.9$ |
| 1996 | $33,962.6$ | $13,964.6$ | $9,865.1$ | $12,267.3$ | $36,097.0$ |
| 1997 | $38,584.6$ | $15,985.1$ | $11,072.5$ | $13,705.3$ | $40,762.9$ |
| 1998 | $43,390.5$ | $18,352.3$ | $11,710.3$ | $15,554.5$ | $45,617.1$ |
| 1999 | $49,403.7$ | $21,290.7$ | $12,769.6$ | $17,489.2$ | $51,549.5$ |
| 2000 | $51,824.6$ | $22,918.0$ | $12,869.7$ | $18,205.9$ | $53,993.6$ |
| 2001 | $58,024.3$ | $25,881.5$ | $14,275.3$ | $19,977.9$ | $60,134.7$ |
| 2002 | $57,861.9$ | $26,041.7$ | $14,022.9$ | $19,554.2$ | $59,618.8$ |

## Percent Funded

| Retirants <br> and <br> Beneficiaries | Active <br> Member <br> Contrib. | Employer's <br> Contrib. | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $77.2 \%$ | $91.8 \%$ |
| $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $78.4 \%$ | $92.4 \%$ |
| $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $79.6 \%$ | $92.9 \%$ |
| $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $81.1 \%$ | $93.5 \%$ |
| $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $82.6 \%$ | $94.1 \%$ |
| $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $84.1 \%$ | $94.7 \%$ |
| $100.0 \%$ | $1000 \%$ | $85.7 \%$ | $95.1 \%$ |
| $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $87.7 \%$ | $95.8 \%$ |
| $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $88.1 \%$ | $96.0 \%$ |
| $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $89.4 \%$ | $96.5 \%$ |
| $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $91.0 \%$ | $97.1 \%$ |

## Wisc onsin Retirement System Contribution Rates

| General (Incl. Teachers) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Current Prior <br> Cost | Cost | Employer <br> Total |  | Employee | BAC | Total

## Notes to Contribution Rate Schedule

1. The employee rate is set by statute. Part or all of the required employee contribution may be paid by the employeron behalf of the employee.
2. The unfunded lia bility wasrec a lc ulated in 1990 to reflect benefit improvements and is being amortized on a level percentage of salary basisovera period of 40 yearsbeginning J anuary 1, 1990, or on the effective date of the employer's partic ipation, whic hever is later. Prior service rates vary by employers and the percentage reported represents a weighted average.
3. The Duty Disa bility rate bec ame effective in April 1984. As of J anuary 1, 1985, an experience rated schedule went into effect. The percentage reported representsa weighted average.
4. Beginning J anuary 1,1986 , partic ip ants were required to make an actuarially detemined, nonrefundable Benefit Adjustment Contribution. Part or all of the Benefit Adjustment Contribution may be paid by the employeron behalf of the employee.

|  | Current Prior <br> Cost <br> Cost | Duty <br> Disability | Employer <br> Total | Employee | BAC | Total |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | $9.7 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ | $13.5 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ | $9.7 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $13.7 \%$ | $6.6 \%$ | $20.1 \%$ |  |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $9.6 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $20.3 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $9.2 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $13.5 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $20.5 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $8.9 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $13.1 \%$ | $5.8 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $19.6 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $8.5 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $13.1 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $18.9 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $8.0 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ | $12.8 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $18.5 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $7.2 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ | $11.9 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $17.7 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $6.9 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ | $11.5 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $16.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $7.1 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $11.6 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $15.3 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | $0.0 \%$ | $15.6 \%$ |  |


|  | Current <br> Cost | Prior <br> Cost | Duty <br> Disability | Employer |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | Employee | BAC | Total |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | $14.9 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ | $19.2 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $26.7 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ | $14.9 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $19.3 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $26.8 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $14.6 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ | $19.4 \%$ | $7.2 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $26.6 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $14.2 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $19.0 \%$ | $6.8 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $25.8 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $13.6 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $18.4 \%$ | $6.2 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $24.6 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $13.2 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $18.3 \%$ | $5.8 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $24.1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $12.8 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ | $18.2 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $23.6 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $11.8 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ | $17.2 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $21.6 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $10.7 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ | $16.1 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $19.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $10.4 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $15.7 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $18.7 \%$ |

## Analysis of Actuarial Experience

Gains and Losses in Accrued Liabilities during 2002
Resulting from Differences Between Assumed Experience \& Actual Experience (in Millions \$)

|  | General |  | Protective <br> With <br> Social <br> Security | Protective <br> Without <br> Social <br> Security | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Early Retirement

If fewer members choose early retirement than assumed, there is a loss. If more early retirements, a gain.
0.3
(0.8)
(0.3)

## Death with Benefit

If survivor claims are less than assumed, there is a gain. If more claims, there is a loss.
0.0
\$ 4.3

## Disability Retirement

If disability claims are less than assumed, there is a gain. If more claims, a loss. 7.2
0.1

## Other Separations

If more liabilities are released by separations than assumed, there is a gain. If smaller releases, a loss.
(0.1)
0.2
(0.2)

## Salary Increases

If there are smaller pay increases than assumed, there is a gain. If greater increases, a loss.

## Investment Return

If there is greater investment income than assumed, there is a gain. If less income, a loss. (285.6)
(106.3)
(4.8) \%
(414.0)
(2.0) \%

## Wisconsin Retirement system Changes in Number of Annuities

| Year | Annuity Type | Beginning | Additions | Deletions | Ending |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2002 | Retirement | 104,910 | 6,915 | 2,924 | 108,901 |
|  | Disability | 6,009 | 191 | 42 | 6,158 |
|  | Beneficiary | 1,223 | 53 | 46 | 1,230 |
|  | Total | 112,142 | 7,159 | 3,012 | 116,289 |
| 2001 | Retirement | 100,304 | 7,254 | 2,648 | 104,910 |
|  | Disability | 5,898 | 302 | 191 | 6,009 |
|  | Beneficiary | 1,223 | 53 | 53 | 1,223 |
|  | Total | 107,425 | 7,609 | 2,892 | 112,142 |
| 2000 | Retirement | 95,747 | 7,358 | 2,801 | 100,304 |
|  | Disability | 5,811 | 302 | 215 | 5,898 |
|  | Beneficiary | 1,259 | 40 | 76 | 1,223 |
|  | Total | 102,817 | 7,700 | 3,092 | 107,425 |
| 1999 | Retirement | 92,157 | 6,324 | 2,734 | 95,747 |
|  | Disability | 5,673 | 343 | 205 | 5,811 |
|  | Beneficiary | 1,282 | 46 | 69 | 1,259 |
|  | Total | 99,112 | 6,713 | 3,008 | 102,817 |
| 1998 | Retirement | 88,230 | 6,324 | 2,397 | 92,157 |
|  | Disability | 5,574 | 311 | 212 | 5,673 |
|  | Beneficiary | 1,324 | 26 | 68 | 1,282 |
|  | Total | 95,128 | 6,661 | 2,677 | 99,112 |
| 1997 | Retirement | 85,418 | 5,458 | 2,646 | 88,230 |
|  | Disability | 5,423 | 338 | 187 | 5,574 |
|  | Beneficiary | 1,357 | 27 | 60 | 1,324 |
|  | Total | 92,198 | 5,823 | 2,893 | 95,128 |
| 1996 | Retirement | 82,333 | 5,566 | 2,481 | 85,418 |
|  | Disability | 5,279 | 364 | 220 | 5,423 |
|  | Beneficiary | 1,386 | 29 | 58 | 1,357 |
|  | Total | 88,998 | 5,959 | 2,759 | 92,198 |
| 1995 | Retirement | 79,730 | 5,033 | 2,430 | 82,333 |
|  | Disability | 5,066 | 371 | 158 | 5,279 |
|  | Beneficiary | 1,418 | 33 | 65 | 1,386 |
|  | Total | 86,214 | 5,437 | 2,653 | 88,998 |
| 1994 | Retirement | 77,469 | 4,575 | 2,314 | 79,730 |
|  | Disability | 4,909 | 344 | 187 | 5,066 |
|  | Beneficiary | 1,458 | 37 | 77 | 1,418 |
|  | Total | 83,836 | 4,956 | 2,578 | 86,214 |
| 1993 | Retirement | 75,288 | 4,478 | 2,297 | 77,469 |
|  | Disability | 4,714 | 361 | 166 | 4,909 |
|  | Beneficiary | 1,506 | 38 | 86 | 1,458 |
|  | Total | 81,508 | 4,877 | 2,549 | 83,836 |

